

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

BRENT A. CAPEHART LARIVIERE, GRUBMAN & PAYNE, LLP 19 UPPER RAGSDALE DRIVE, SUITE 200 P.O BOX 3140 MONTEREY, CA 93940

COPY MAILED

OCT 1 2 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Patent No. 6,881,121 Issued: April 19, 2005 Application No. 10/630.133 Filed: July 30, 2003 Attorney Docket No. P1607

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

This is a decision on the petition, filed July 14, 2006, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant non-provisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark (USFTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. See 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is GRANTED.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in an eighteen-month publication country on June 4, 2004. However, the USPTO was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in an eighteen-month publication country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition to revive an application abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for failure to notify the USPTO of a foreign filing must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
 (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and
 (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date
- of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the USPTO of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

This application matured into Patent No. 6,881,121 on April 19, 2005. Therefore, no further action is required.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3206.

Liana Chase Walsh Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions